Investigating the Climatological Impact of Atmospheric Rivers on the Sierra Nevada (USA) Seasonal Snowpack

## NASA

Laurie Huning (UCLA; huning@seas.ucla.edu) Steve Margulis (UCLA) Paul Neiman (NOAA) August 10, 2016

Civil and Environmental Engineering

Hydrology and Water Resources

# Background/Motivation

#### Sierra Nevada snowfall and atmospheric rivers (ARs)

- California heavily relies on the seasonal snowpack
- Accumulation season is dominated by a few large snowstorms
- ARs typically contribute ~30-40% of the total snowfall (*Guan et al., 2010*)

### **Previous work**

- Limited observations inhibit full spatiotemporal characterizations of precipitation/snowfall distributions
  - In situ point-scale measurements
    - e.g. Snow sensors (Guan et al., 2012; Rutz et al., 2014)
  - Coarser resolution distributed datasets
    - e.g. Precipitation: 0.25° CPC precipitation (*Kim et al., 2013*) Snow: 1-km SNODAS, 500-m blended SWE product (*Guan et al., 2010, 2013*)

### • Snow distributions tend to be well resolved at ~100 m (Clark et al., 2011)

#### To overcome these limitations...

 We use a <u>higher</u> resolution (90-m, daily) gridded snow water equivalent (SWE) dataset over the <u>entire</u> range

## **Science Questions**

- 1. How does the distribution of AR-derived snowfall vary spatially and temporally in the Sierra Nevada? Are there differences in orographic enhancement between AR and non-AR elevational snowfall distributions?
- 2. How much snowfall is delivered to the Sierra Nevada during AR events?
- 3. What fraction of the seasonal snowfall is derived from ARs from the local to range-scale? *How does it vary between extreme wet and dry years?*

## Sierra Nevada Domain

#### Western Sierra Nevada

- 7 basins in the NW
- 7 basins in the SW

#### Eastern Sierra Nevada

- 3 basins in the NE
- 3 basins in the SE

Total: 20 basins (Area ~49,000 km<sup>2</sup>)



# Cumulative Snowfall Dataset: WY 1998-2015

Sierra Nevada snow water equivalent (SWE) reanalysis (*Margulis et al., 2016*)

- Resolution: Daily, 90-m
- Elevations: >1500 m
- Assimilated Landsat fractional snow-covered area (fSCA) images (*Margulis et al., 2015*)
- Spatially *and* temporally continuous *maps*
- Cumulative snowfall (CSNWFL): Daily increases in SWE
- Accumulation season: November to the range-wide day-of-peak SWE (Variable season length)
- **AR-CSNWFL** is diagnosed with California AR landfall dates from SSM/I and SSMIS (*Neiman et al., 2008*)



1.5

0.5

Total CSNWFL (m)

0



## **Elevational Distributions**



## Orographic Enhancement in the Western Sierra Nevada



## Integrated CSNWFL for WY 1998-2015



	AR-CSNWFL (km <sup>3</sup> )	Total CSNWFL (km <sup>3</sup> )
Minimum	0.0 (in WY 2001)	4.5 (in WY 2015)
Maximum	21.6 (in WY 2011)	40.6 (in WY 2011)
Mean	7.0	21.7

## Integrated CSNWFL for WY 1998-2015



	AR-CSNWFL (km <sup>3</sup> )	Total CSNWFL (km <sup>3</sup> )	f <sub>AR</sub> (%)
Minimum	0.0 (in WY 2001)	4.5 (in WY 2015)	0.0 (in WY 2001)
Maximum	21.6 (in WY 2011)	40.6 (in WY 2011)	71.4 (in WY 2015)
Mean	7.0	21.7	32.0



## Conclusions

- Application of novel CSNWFL dataset highlights local to rangescale spatial/temporal differences in AR-CSNWFL distributions
- AR-CSNWFL exhibits greater orographic enhancement than non-AR CSNWFL at high elevations in western basins
- Significant inter-annual variability in AR-CSNWFL (0-21.6 km<sup>3</sup>)
- 32% of the total CSNWFL volume is derived from ARs (7.0 km<sup>3</sup>) on average (Range: 0-71%)
- Simply knowing f<sub>AR</sub> may not be a good predictor of the "wetness" of a year
- ARs play important roles during both wet years and dry years



#### **Correlation Coefficients**

	Total Integrated CSNWFL	AR Integrated CSNWFL
# of AR days	0.289 (p=0.245)	0.655 (p=0.003)
# of AR events	0.387 (p=0.113)	0.671 (p=0.002)



# **Elevational Distributions**











Fraction of Total CSNWFL from ARs (f<sub>AR</sub>)











## Integrated CSNWFL Anomalies

