Projected changes to California extreme precipitation accumulations from the CESM Large Ensemble

Jesse Norris

University of California, Los Angeles

Collaborators: Gang Chen and David Neelin



California floods 2017: accumulations >200 mm



Motivation

- Extreme accumulations (100s of mm) projected to become more frequent in the 21st Century (Dwyer and O'Gorman 2017; Neelin et al. 2017).
- In a warmer climate, greater moisture levels imply greater precipitation intensity, according to Clausius–Clapeyron (Trenberth 1999; Allen and Ingram 2002).
- Dynamical forcing (Pfahl et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2018; Norris et al. 2018) and duration of events (Dwyer and O'Gorman 2017) may offset/enhance thermodynamic changes.
- 1. How much more frequent will major accumulations, e.g., the 10-year or 100-year events, become in California?
- 2. How will intensity and duration each change in the future?

Enhanced frequency of large accumulations in a future climate



CESM Large Ensemble

- Coupled atmosphere–ocean model
- Approx 1° grid spacing, 30 vertical levels
- ► 40 ensemble members for current climate (1990–2005) and late 21st Century (2071–2080)
- RCP8.5 forcing from 2006 onward (approx. 3 K warming by late 21st Century)
- 6-hourly output used to calculate accumulations

Precipitation accumulations

- Time integration of consecutive precip rates >0.5 mm/h: $A = \int_{t_{\text{start}}}^{t_{\text{end}}} P dt$
- Accumulation starts when P first exceeds 0.5 mm/h and ends when P first drops below 0.5 mm/h.
- Accumulation is equal to product of mean intensity and duration: A = ID

Conditional mean accumulation as function of return period

- All 40 members aggregated to give data period of several 100 years.
- Accumulations binned according to return period: obtain the mean accumulation corresponding to the given return period.
- A^e denotes the conditional mean accumulation for the e-year return period.
- ► Also calculate mean intensity and duration of events for a given return period: A^e ≈ I^eD^e
- Analysis performed at each grid point for end-of-20th (E20) and end-of-21st (E21) Centuries separately.
- Significant changes at a given return period where 90% bootstrap replications agree on sign.
- Focus on California, i.e., frontal events.

Greater accumulations over Norcal than Socal due to greater duration



Accumulations well represented compared to TRMM 3B42





Accumulations well represented compared to TRMM 3B42



Accumulations approx. equal to product of conditionally averaged duration and intensity



Similarly for projected changes







100-year return: Projected changes to intensity negatively correlated with changes to duration





Moisture budget

For extreme instantaneous precipitation (e.g., 99.9th percentile), precipitation rate is approximately equal to the vertical integral of moisture multiplied by mass convergence (Norris et al. 2018):

$$P = \sum_{k} q_k C_k$$

where q_k is vapor mixing ratio at the *k*th model level and $C_k = -\frac{1}{g} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}_k dp_k)$ is upward mass transport integrated over the *k*th model layer.

Hence to understand the change in intensity of large accumulations, we analyze the changes to the mean q and C profiles.

Moisture and convergence both increase with return period





For 100-year return, convergence enhanced over Norcal and weakened over Socal



Over Socal, 100-year return projected to occur approx. every 20 years in late 21st Century



Summary

- Extreme accumulations (100s of mm) projected to become more frequent over California.
- Accumulations are greater over Norcal due to greater duration, but in the future the gap closes in duration of events, hence the gap closes in accumulation size.
- Changes to intensity negatively correlated with changes to duration — increasing duration is associated with weakening dynamical forcing.
- Reduced duration over Norcal leads to lower increases.
- Enhanced duration of large accumulations over Socal leads to particularly large increases — 100-year return becomes 5 times more frequent.
- Other models needed to confirm results.

References

- Allen, M. R., and W. J. Ingram, 2002: Constraints on future changes in climate and the hydrological cycle. *Nature*, **419**, 224–232.
- Chen, G., J. Norris, J. D. Neelin, J. Lu, L. R. Leung, and K. Sakaguchi, 2018: Thermodynamic and dynamic mechanisms for hydrological cycle intensification over the full probability distribution of precipitation events. J. Atmos. Sci., in review.
- Dwyer, J. G., and P. A. O'Gorman, 2017: Changing duration and spatial extent of midlatitude precipitation extremes across different climates. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 44, 5863–5871.
- Neelin, J. D., S. Sahany, S. N. Stechmann, and D. N. Bernstein, 2017: Global warming precipitation accumulation increases above the current-climate cutoff scale. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **114** (6).
- Norris, J., G. Chen, and J. D. Neelin, 2018: Thermodynamic versus dynamic controls on extreme precipitation in a warming climate from the Community Earth System Model Large Ensemble. J. Climate, in review.
- Pfahl, S., P. A. O'Gorman, and E. M. Fischer, 2017: Understanding the regional pattern of projected future changes in extreme precipitation. *Nat. Clim. Change*, 7, 423–428.
- Trenberth, K. E., 1999: Conceptual framework for changes of extremes of the hydrological cycle with climate change. Clim. Change, 42, 327–339.

Summary

- Extreme accumulations (100s of mm) projected to become more frequent over California.
- Accumulations are greater over Norcal due to greater duration, but in the future the gap closes in duration of events, hence the gap closes in accumulation size.
- Changes to intensity negatively correlated with changes to duration — increasing duration is associated with weakening dynamical forcing.
- Reduced duration over Norcal leads to lower increases.
- Enhanced duration of large accumulations over Socal leads to particularly large increases — 100-year return becomes 5 times more frequent.
- Other models needed to confirm results.